ME THE SHORETARY OF STATE SECRETARE OF NAR SECRETARY OF THE BAYY PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PRESIDENT OM NIA

Subject: Forthcoming Article in Life Magazine

In connection with the statement during the MIA meeting on 25 September 1946 about the forthcoming article in Life Magazine, the attached emergts from this article, which will come out Friday, may be of interest.

HOTT S. VARDENBERG Liestennet General, WA Director of Control Intelligence

Distributions

1 cc - NIA Secretariat

2 ce - Central Records

1 oc - Brecutive Registry

STAT	Note for records	Prepared	by	General	Yandenberg.	
					DOCUMENT NO. 37  NO CHANGE IN CLASS DE DECLASSIFIED  CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C  NEXT REVIEW DATE:  AUTH: HB 104  DATE PROJECT REVIEWER:	
<u>L</u>					tana ara-ara-ara-ara-ara-ara-ara-ara-ara-ar	QTA

Approved For Release 2003/07/09 : CIA-RDP80R0173

## PROPERTY THE ANTICLE FOR LITTE MARKETHE, 30 SEPTEMBER 1946

Title: Intelligence - Lay to Miltune

Caption under heading: In a confused world our diplomate need all the facts that they can get, but our present intelligence system is dangerously week.

By: William J. Demovem

We need an intelligence service with a skilled trained group of scholars and experts having the regional knowledge and the linguistic competence of the countries involved which should be the greatest aid in reaching the right solution at the right time. But have we get such an intelligence service new? No, we have not.

(Skip to smother page.)

A year ago we broke up (86. For peace time it was urged that 066 be replaced by another central intelligence service appropriate to our position as the world's greatest power and having at its organised fingertips all the information affecting the American position everywhere and anywhere. Instead there has emerged a makeshift intelligence service honoring in the breach every principle learned so painfully under fire. The new Mational Intelligence Authority is made up of the Secretaries of State, War and Mavy and of the Chief of Staff to the President. Thus we have a committee the first duty of whose members is to their respective departments. Intelligence is a full time job. How can we expect to get an afficient pervise based upon such an administrative fallacy?

Under this sutherity is the director who has the right to participate in the meetings of the scantition, but not to vote. Although appointed by the President, he reports, not to him, but to the various heads of the departments to when he furnishes the intelligence and upon whom he is dependent for facilities, funds and personnel. One such a director be master in his own house? seconds will there be assume initiative and imagination without which it will sink to medicarity. The whole affair has been further weakened by placing the interpretive and evaluation unit in the State Department. Such meshinesy cannot possibly work. It example work because it violates all the precepts of intelligence which common sense and apperionse have headed down to us. That are those precepts?

6. Administration. The director should be a civilian appointed by the President. This agency should have its own budget granted by

(Lest paregreth)

The econoquences of Talta will remain with us. To avoid such blunders in the fature, our only hope is the kind of intelligence service we built at so heavy a cost-end junked.